

->> Evaluation of Undergraduate Presentation ->>-

Presenter: _	Topic:		Date: <u>201</u>		
20%) Presei	ntation Skills		year – r	month – day	
• W	as the presentation visually clear? legibility, clutter, consistent design, visual fl	low			
• W	as the presentation audibly clear? volume, pace, enunciation, emphasis				
• D	id the presentation engage audience attention?				
	id the presenter talk directly to the audience?				
	Vere the speakers confident and familiar with the topic?				
•	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 • ;	3½ ·	4 strong	×
24%) Conte	nt				
	as the presentation insightful?				
	Vere appropriate examples used?				
	id audience learn anything from the presentation?				
	o audience understand something about the topic better because of pr	resentatio	n?		
	id the audience benefit from the analysis of the topic, or of the consequ			igue?	
	$0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot 1\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 2\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3$			-	
•	weak	,	2	strong	X
• W	Were the ideas presented in a logical sequence? Was there a clear introduction & conclusion? $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 • ;	$3\frac{1}{2}$.	4 strong	×
12%) Engag					
	id the presenters create questions that promoted discussion?				
• D	id the presenters facilitate discussion?			_	
•	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 • ;	$3\frac{1}{2}$.	4	×
	weak			strong	Λ.
20%) Conci	seness				
	Vas the talk too short (< 10 min.) or too long (> 15 min.)?				
	Vere the ideas expressed without rambling?				
• • • •			1	4	
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$, · ;	3 ½ ·	4 strong	×
•	WLAR			suong	Α,
				<u>_</u>	
				=	
Credible sour	rces? Citations for facts? Citations for images?			_	

Evaluation of Undergraduate Presentation (cont.)

- ① Speaking
- **4** Content
- **7** Conciseness

8 Other

- ② Visual components③ Presentation skills
- **⑤** Organization/Structure
- 6 Engagement